



Measures of Success Data

for

Supporting Regional Coordination and Collaboration by Leveraging ESSA North Carolina State Consultant Meeting

February 13, 2020



Table of Contents

Birthweight.....	3
Oral Health	5
Early Intervention	7
Physical Health	8
Social-Emotional Health	8
Safe at Home	9
Positive Parent/Child Interactions	10
Reading with Children	10
Supports for Families	10
Skilled & Knowledgeable Parents	11
High Quality Birth-through-age-Eight Early Care & Education	11
Positive School Climate	29
Promotion to Next Grade	30
Regular School Attendance	34
Summer Learning.....	38
Family Economic Security	39
Housing Stability	42
Safe Neighborhoods.....	44
Trauma-Informed Communities.....	45
Environmental Health	46

Birthweight

Percent of babies born weighing less than 2500 grams (5.5 pounds). Infants born weighing less than 2,500 grams (5.5 pounds) are at greater risk for physical and developmental problems than infants of normal weight. Children who are born at a low birth weight are at higher risk for long-term illness or disability and are more likely to be enrolled in special education classes or to repeat a grade.

Source: State Center for Health Statistics, North Carolina 2018

Percent of babies born weighing less than 2500 grams (5.5 pounds)

Counties 2018 Data	% BLACK	% HISPANIC	% OTHER	% WHITE
ALAMANCE	14.5	5.6	12.5	8.4
ALEXANDER	5.6	7.1	0.0	9.3
ALLEGHANY	0.0	5.6	n/a	9.9
ANSON	22.7	8.3	20	10.2
ASHE	0.0	5.6	0.0	7.9
AVERY	n/a	0.0	0.0	12.9
BEAUFORT	16.5	6.1	14.3	4.8
BERTIE	15.9	20	0.0	3.3
BLADEN	13.2	8.5	18.2	9
BRUNSWICK	13.8	6.4	7.7	9
BUNCOMBE	12.7	8.3	11.9	8.4
BURKE	15.4	4.8	10.4	10.4
CABARRUS	15.4	5.8	8.8	7.4
CALDWELL	7.1	9.8	14.3	7.5
CAMDEN	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
CARTERET	21.9	10.8	0.0	6.4
CASWELL	23.6	0.0	0.0	9.4
CATAWBA	8.8	6.6	8.9	7.1
CHATHAM	17.4	9.2	6.3	8.3
CHEROKEE	0.0	17.6	0.0	7.6
CHOWAN	18.2	0.0	n/a	8.2
CLAY	0.0	0.0	n/a	6.4
CLEVELAND	17.3	12.1	23.1	7.7
COLUMBUS	20.1	6.0	9.5	9.8
CRAVEN	17.2	6.3	2.8	6.7
CUMBERLAND	13.7	8.6	8.0	7.0
CURRITUCK	53.8	20.0	0.0	8.6
DARE	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
DAVIDSON	13.1	7.3	12.8	9.2
DAVIE	19.2	4.8	0.0	8.3
DUPLIN	12.3	6.0	0.0	5.0
DURHAM	12.3	7.5	8.2	6.5
EDGECOMBE	14.1	5.3	50.0	7.3
FORSYTH	17.8	9.7	10.6	6.2

Counties 2018 Data	% BLACK	% HISPANIC	% OTHER	% WHITE
FRANKLIN	16.8	8.2	22.2	7.6
GASTON	13.4	7.6	4.8	8.4
GATES	18.2	0.0	0.0	5.8
GRAHAM	n/a	0.0	8.3	6.7
GRANVILLE	6.5	5.9	0.0	12.2
GREENE	12.1	9.3	0.0	9.4
GUILFORD	12.3	7.5	9.8	7.5
HALIFAX	16.3	0.0	20.7	7.5
HARNETT	16.5	7.3	8.6	6.6
HAYWOOD	12.5	6.9	12.5	9.3
HENDERSON	12.2	8.9	9.1	8.9
HERTFORD	12.3	0.0	0.0	2.6
HOKE	13.1	3.5	14.3	5.6
HYDE	0.0	12.5	n/a	5.0
IREDELL	14.9	10.5	11.9	8.6
JACKSON	0.0	17.1	14.3	9.0
JOHNSTON	14.8	8.6	6.4	7.4
JONES	4.5	33.3	0.0	5.2
LEE	14.3	7.5	0.0	10.8
LENOIR	19.8	8.8	16.7	5.8
LINCOLN	14.3	9.4	5.0	8.3
MACON	0.0	6.8	0.0	9.4
MADISON	n/a	12.5	0.0	9.1
MARTIN	15.3	0.0	20.0	7.5
MCDOWELL	8.3	12.2	0.0	11.7
MECKLENBURG	14.6	7.8	10.7	6.4
MITCHELL	0.0	9.1	n/a	5.9
MONTGOMERY	15.9	1.5	0.0	11.9
MOORE	15.7	5.6	8.1	7.3
NASH	12.6	7.9	20.8	8.4
NEW HANOVER	14.6	8.0	9.1	7.3
NORTHAMPTON	9.9	0.0	n/a	6.6
ONslow	9.0	5.5	7.9	6.0
ORANGE	16.7	6.7	6.9	8.0

Counties 2018 Data	% BLACK	% HISPANIC	% OTHER	% WHITE
PAMLICO	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6
PASQUOTANK	14.5	2.3	22.2	9.1
PENDER	17.2	5.7	0.0	7.1
PERQUIMANS	3.6	50.0	0.0	4.5
PERSON	15.1	14.7	25.0	11.0
PITT	16.7	6.8	9.7	7.3
POLK	11.1	0.0	0.0	7.9
RANDOLPH	8.5	6.8	2.2	8.8
RICHMOND	15.9	2.3	8.8	9.6
ROBESON	18.0	7.6	11.0	11.3
ROCKINGHAM	13.2	7.5	23.5	7.9
ROWAN	20.3	7.5	9.8	9.3
RUTHERFORD	15.7	0.0	16.7	8.7
SAMPSON	14.3	6.7	4.0	9.0
SCOTLAND	20.6	10.5	16.0	12.2
STANLY	25.3	8.7	0.0	9.2
STOKES	8.3	12.5	0.0	9.0

Counties 2018 Data	% BLACK	% HISPANIC	% OTHER	% WHITE
SURRY	14.3	6.4	0.0	9.9
SWAIN	0.0	15.4	12.7	4.2
TRANSYLVANIA	14.3	14.3	0.0	9.8
TYRRELL	0.0	0.0	n/a	4.3
UNION	14.4	8.9	3.7	6.3
VANCE	14.4	5.2	8.3	11.3
WAKE	11.5	7.2	7.7	6.0
WARREN	10.8	28.6	6.7	9.3
WASHINGTON	9.6	8.3	n/a	11.4
WATAUGA	0.0	12.5	9.1	9.3
WAYNE	13.9	8.5	11.1	5.4
WILKES	5.6	4.7	0.0	8.1
WILSON	18.3	6.9	7.1	7.6
YADKIN	8.3	12.9	20.0	9.8
YANCEY	n/a	9.5	n/a	11.1
NC Total	14.3	7.5	9.4	7.5

Oral Health

Percent of children with untreated tooth decay. Tooth decay is the most common chronic childhood disease. Untreated dental problems can lead to secondary physical illness, delay overall development, compromise school attendance and performance, and interfere with psycho-social functioning.

Sources: Counties in regular font: County Level Oral Health Status, 2013-14. 70 counties surveyed.

Counties in italics: County Level Oral Health Status, 2015-16. 55 counties surveyed.

Most recent data used when available, including for State Total.

2019 Regional data.

Percent of children with untreated tooth decay

Alamance	19%
Alexander	10%
Alleghany	26%
Anson	29%
Ashe	27%
Avery	26%
Bertie	19%
Beaufort	21%
Bladen	31%
Buncombe	14%
Burke	27%
Cabarrus	15%
Caldwell	13%
Camden	16%
Caswell	22%
Catawba	12%
Cherokee	25%
Chowan	16%
Clay	20%
Cleveland	16%
Columbus	31%
Craven	13%
Cumberland	18%
Currituck	10%
Dare	9%
Davidson	5%
Davie	15%
Duplin	8%
Durham	21%
Edgecombe	15%
Forsyth	13%
Franklin	12%
Gaston	17%
Gates	10%
Graham	16%
Granville	19%
Guilford	17%
Halifax	15%

Harnett	16%
Haywood	16%
Henderson	6%
Hoke	11%
Hyde	18%
Iredell	16%
Jackson	20%
Johnston	13%
Jones	6%
Lenoir	20%
Lincoln	10%
Macon	16%
Madison	15%
Martin	8%
McDowell	23%
Mecklenburg	7%
Mitchell	21%
Montgomery	21%
Moore	25%
New Hanover	12%
Northampton	15%
Onslow	12%
Orange	7%
Pamlico	11%
Pasquotank	16%
Pender	9%
Perquimans	20%
Person	17%
Pitt	21%
Polk	23%
Randolph	12%
Richmond	23%
Robeson	25%
Rockingham	18%
Rowan	25%
Rutherford	20%
Sampson	16%
Scotland	22%

Stanly	5%
Stokes	22%
Surry	31%
Swain	22%
Transylvania	21%
Tyrrell	27%
Union	9%
Vance	22%
Wake	5%
Warren	3%
Washington	23%
Wayne	18%
Wilkes	24%
Wilson	17%
Yancey	14%
State Total	15%

NC Total 2019	14.3%
Region 1 Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain, & Transylvania	14.9%
Region 2 Avery, Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Henderson, Madison, McDowell, Mitchell, Polk, Rutherford, & Yancey	14.5%
Region 3 Alleghany, Ashe, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Stokes, Surry, Watauga, Wilkes, & Yadkin	15.1%
Region 4 Alexander, Cabarrus, Catawba, Cleveland, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Rowan, Stanly, & Union	9.5%
Region 5 Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Durham, Guilford, Orange, Person, Randolph, & Rockingham	17.8%
Region 6 Anson, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore, Richmond, & Scotland	15.4%
Region 7 Edgecombe, Franklin, Granville, Halifax, Johnston, Nash, Vance, Wake, Warren, & Wilson	12.8%

Region 8 Bladen, Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, New Hanover, Onslow, Pender, Robeson & Sampson	11.4%
Region 9 Bertie, Camden, Chowan, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Hertford, Hyde, Martin, Northampton, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Tyrrell, & Washington	17.9%
Region 10 Beaufort, Carteret, Craven, Greene, Jones, Lenoir, Pamlico, Pitt, & Wayne	19.8%

Early Intervention

Percent of children improving with early intervention services. Without appropriate supports and services in the early years, children with special educational needs are less likely to be ready for school and are at higher risk for poor educational outcomes.

Source: Data from NC Infant and Toddler Program (Early Intervention), 2018-19. Data show: Of those children who entered the program below age expectations in this outcome area, the percent of children who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exit the program.

Percent of children improving with early intervention services

CDSA Region	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication)	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs
Blue Ridge	64.3%	73.7%	75.2%
Cape Fear	59.6%	68.8%	68.7%
Charlotte	78.1%	81.7%	84.1%
Concord	80.4%	88.6%	85.7%
Durham	49.5%	66.8%	58.0%
Elizabeth City	85.7%	85.9%	88.3%
Greensboro	86.7%	90.6%	89.9%
Greenville	43.2%	52.3%	48.5%
Morganton	67.5%	68.0%	78.2%
New Bern	56.8%	57.6%	49.5%
Raleigh	78.9%	85.8%	84.1%
Rocky Mount	64.2%	67.1%	71.0%
Sandhills	76.6%	82.8%	79.1%
Shelby	92.2%	94.4%	95.0%
Western NC	73.6%	79.8%	78.1%
Winston Salem	88.0%	89.6%	88.9%

Blue Ridge: Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Mitchell, Watauga, Wilkes, Yancey

Cape Fear: Bladen, Brunswick, Columbus, Cumberland, Duplin, New Hanover, Pender, Robeson, Sampson

Charlotte: Mecklenburg

Concord: Cabarrus, Iredell, Rowan, Stanly, Union

Durham: Chatham, Durham, Franklin, Granville, Orange, Person, Vance, Warren

Elizabeth City: Camden, Chowan, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Hertford, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Tyrrell, Washington

Greensboro: Alamance, Caswell, Guilford, Randolph, Rockingham

Greenville: Beaufort, Bertie, Greene, Hyde, Martin, Pitt, Wayne

Morganton: Alexander, Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, McDowell

New Bern: Carteret, Craven, Jones, Lenoir, Onslow, Pamlico

Raleigh: Wake

Rocky Mount: Edgecombe, Halifax, Johnston, Nash, Northampton, Wilson

Sandhills: Anson, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore, Richmond, Scotland

Shelby: Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln, Polk, Rutherford

Western NC: Buncombe, Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, Macon, Madison, Swain, Transylvania

Winston Salem: Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Stokes, Surry, Yadkin

Physical Health

Percent of parents reporting their child's health is excellent or very good. Parents' self-reported health status of their children strongly correlates to their children's actual health, particularly at young ages. Healthy children are better able to engage in experiences crucial to the learning process.

Source: Data Resource Center for Child & Adolescent Health. National Survey of Children's Health. Indicator 1.1: Children's overall health status. Parents responded to the question, "In general, how would you describe [child name's] health?" Data show percent of parents who replied "Excellent" or "Very Good." Other choices were "Good," "Fair," or "Poor."

Percent of parents reporting their child's health is excellent or very good

	NC	US
2007	87%	84%
2011/12	85%	84%
2016	90%	90%
2017/18	89%	90%

Data by Race/Ethnicity for 2017/18:

	NC	US
Black	82%	84%
Hispanic	89%	87%
Other	92%	91%
White	91%	93%

Social-Emotional Health

Percent of children exhibiting self-regulation, good interpersonal skills, and no behavior problems. Emotional health and social competence enable children to participate in learning and form good relationships with teachers and peers. Research is increasingly finding that self-regulation and social-emotional health are among the most critical building blocks for children's learning.

NO COUNTY OR STATE DATA AVAILABLE.

The NC Early Childhood Foundation, through the Pathways to Grade-Level Reading Initiative, has convened a data working group to make recommendations for population-level measures of children's social-emotional health. Recommendations will be released this spring.

Safe at Home

Rate of investigated/assessed child abuse or neglect. Child abuse and neglect are linked to language deficits, reduced cognitive functioning, social and behavioral difficulties, and attention deficit disorders. The incidence of child abuse and neglect is reduced when protective factors (such as social support, high quality reliable out-of-home child care, access to treatment of depression, and decent housing) are strengthened, and risk factors (such as poverty, social isolation, absence of supportive adults, and violence in the home or neighborhood) are mitigated.

Source: Data provided and analyzed by UNC Jordan Institute for Families, June 2018. Data show number of investigated/assessed child abuse or neglect cases per 1000 population under age 18.

Rate of investigated/assessed child abuse or neglect

COUNTY	Rate per 1000
Alamance	58
Alexander	85
Alleghany	98
Anson	78
Ashe	85
Avery	72
Beaufort	69
Bertie	48
Bladen	56
Brunswick	69
Buncombe	68
Burke	93
Cabarrus	43
Caldwell	90
Camden	24
Carteret	62
Caswell	66
Catawba	60
Chatham	26
Cherokee	92
Chowan	42
Clay	107
Cleveland	105
Columbus	48
Craven	55
Cumberland	77
Currituck	54
Dare	32
Davidson	62
Davie	46
Duplin	66
Durham	39
Edgecombe	77
Forsyth	49

COUNTY	Rate per 1000
Franklin	5
Gaston	106
Gates	25
Graham	100
Granville	48
Greene	45
Guilford	4
Halifax	73
Harnett	46
Haywood	81
Henderson	74
Hertford	34
Hoke	60
Hyde	27
Iredell	54
Jackson	73
Johnston	40
Jones	93
Lee	40
Lenoir	73
Lincoln	70
Macon	64
Madison	67
Martin	58
McDowell	86
Mecklenburg	55
Mitchell	107
Montgomery	73
Moore	57
Nash	48
New Hanover	81
Northampton	39
Onslow	75
Orange	27

COUNTY	Rate per 1000
Pamlico	34
Pasquotank	56
Pender	60
Perquimans	41
Person	60
Pitt	46
Polk	66
Randolph	59
Richmond	3
Robeson	93
Rockingham	6
Rowan	69
Rutherford	85
Sampson	5
Scotland	89
Stanly	58
Stokes	57
Surry	44
Swain	72
Transylvania	100
Tyrrell	72
Union	34
Vance	75
Wake	27
Warren	38
Washington	53
Watauga	30
Wayne	47
Wilkes	81
Wilson	45
Yadkin	47
Yancey	74
NC	52

Positive Parent/Child Interactions

Average number of minutes per day that parents talk or play with their children. The opportunity to form secure attachments with sensitive, nurturing caregivers is critical to children's cognitive and social-emotional growth. The lack of a warm, positive relationship with parents/caregivers increases the risk that children develop major behavioral and emotional problems, including substance abuse, antisocial behavior, and juvenile delinquency. Talking to children plays a direct role in building their vocabularies and strengthening their early literacy skills. A "word gap" between children from low-income and middle-income families predicts gaps in academic achievement.

Source: American Time Use Survey (2011-2015), Bureau of Labor Statistics. www.bls.gov/tus. Data are weighted estimates for the US non-institutional population age 15 and older for the combined years 2011-2015.

Average number of minutes per day that parents talk or play with their children

	NC Average Hours Per Day	US Average Hours Per Day
Playing with household children, not sports	1.85	1.84
Talking with household children	0.58	0.62

Reading with Children

Average number of days per week that parents read to their children. Reading to children promotes a child's cognitive and emotional growth and strengthens parent-child bonding. A positive correlation exists between regular parental book reading and young children's language development, early reading achievement, and school readiness.

Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau, National Survey of Children's Health.

Average number of days per week that parents read to their children

	U.S.			N.C.		
	2007	2011/12	2017/18	2007	2011/12	2017/18
No days	7.6	7.4	7.9	7.4	7.5	5.3
1 or 2 days (1-3 for 2017/18)	11.8	10.7	35.5	8.3	12.6	39.2
3 or 4 days	18.9	18.2		16.7	18.7	
5 or 6 days (4-6 for 2017/18)	13.9	15.8	19.8	12.9	16.9	16.0
Everyday	47.8	47.9	36.9	54.6	44.3	39.5

Supports for Families

Percent of new mothers reporting access to sufficient social supports. Both formal and informal services and supports that help families obtain basic necessities and that enhance protective factors all contribute to children's overall well-being and increase families' abilities to deal with a range of issues.

Source: North Carolina Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) Survey. Respondents answered, "During your most recent pregnancy, would you have had the kinds of help listed below if you needed them? (a) Someone to loan me \$50, (b) Someone to help me if I were sick, (c) Someone to take me to the clinic/drs office, (d) Someone to talk with about my problems." Based on aggregate of all prenatal social support questions asked, (i) No support was classified as a NO response to all social support questions, (ii) Adequate support classified as YES response to 1 to 3 social support questions, and (iii) Excellent support classified as YES response to 4 social support questions.

Percent of new mothers reporting access to sufficient social supports

	2017
No Social Support	3.7%
Adequate Social Support	10.9%
Excellent Social Support	85.3%

Skilled & Knowledgeable Parents

Percent of parents reporting sufficient knowledge of child development and parenting skills. Parents with greater knowledge of child development and parenting skills better support their children's early learning and development. Skilled and knowledgeable parents are better able to expose their children to activities and educational opportunities that can help them succeed.

NO COUNTY OR STATE DATA AVAILABLE

High Quality Birth-through-age-Eight Early Care & Education

Percent of children birth-through-age-eight receiving high quality early care and education (centers, family homes and schools). Children who attend high quality early education programs and elementary schools are better prepared for success in school— academically, socially and emotionally. Economically disadvantaged three- and four-year-old children who participate in high-quality preschool programs have better school achievement, social skills and behavior than children who do not participate in a preschool experience or who are enrolled in a low-quality program. Children in higher quality programs have more advanced language and math skills, more advanced social skills, and warmer relationships with their teachers.

Number of Child Care Centers and Family Care Homes by County, Type, Star-Rating; and Total Enrollment

Source: Data provided by the Division of Child Development, NC Department of Health and Human Services (December 2019)

County	Total (Centers & Family Child Care Homes)	Total Child Care Centers	Total Family Child Care Home	5- Star	4- Star	3- Star	2- Star	1- Star	Total Enrollment 0-5 years	Total Enrollment Child Care Centers 0- 5 years	Total Enrollment Family Child Care Home- 0-5 years
Alamance	85	68	17	31	24	21	0	2	2,980	2,913	67
Alexander	23	22	1	7	3	11	0	1	550	545	5
Alleghany	7	7	0	5	0	1	0	0	173	173	0
Anson	24	16	8	6	14	2	0	0	477	463	14
Ashe	10	9	1	8	1	1	0	0	407	401	6
Avery	10	10	0	7	3	0	0	0	233	233	0
Beaufort	28	18	10	14	6	4	2	0	807	763	44
Bertie	17	13	4	8	5	1	1	0	324	309	15
Bladen	30	22	8	9	9	6	1	0	598	578	20
Brunswick	27	19	8	13	4	7	0	1	1,366	1,325	41
Buncombe	115	100	15	48	22	21	1	9	4,241	4,179	62
Burke	49	44	5	27	11	7	0	1	1,301	1,281	20
Cabarrus	84	70	14	42	12	11	2	4	3,743	3,684	59
Caldwell	63	55	8	38	13	7	2	0	1,086	1,054	32
Camden	5	3	2	2	2	1	0	0	50	41	9
Carteret	25	21	4	10	4	2	2	2	922	898	24
Caswell	8	8	0	5	1	1	0	0	220	220	0
Catawba	94	85	9	38	36	11	1	0	2, 876	2,846	30
Chatham	45	26	19	18	12	6	0	8	1,312	1,213	99
Cherokee	17	17	0	9	1	3	0	0	437	437	0
Chowan	12	11	1	3	5	0	0	0	372	365	7
Clay	7	7	0	3	1	2	0	0	205	205	0
Cleveland	66	53	13	35	17	8	0	2	1,715	1,664	51
Columbus	36	30	6	19	7	8	0	0	1,107	1,087	20
Craven	58	32	26	25	25	6	0	0	1,565	1,459	106
Cumberland	290	212	78	66	96	84	8	6	6,994	6,701	293

County	Total (Centers & Family Child Care Homes)	Total Child Care Centers	Total Family Child Care Home	5- Star	4- Star	3- Star	2- Star	1- Star	Total Enrollment 0-5 years	Total Enrollment Child Care Centers 0- 5 years	Total Enrollment Family Child Care Home- 0-5 years
Currituck	14	11	3	1	4	3	1	0	239	223	16
Dare	27	21	6	11	5	7	1	0	455	432	23
Davidson	76	65	11	36	17	7	2	3	2,464	2,411	53
Davie	17	16	1	15	1	1	0	0	575	570	5
Duplin	37	29	8	11	17	2	0	1	1,056	1,031	25
Durham	279	164	115	102	87	54	10	9	6,588	6,445	486
Edgecombe	38	23	15	16	16	4	1	0	748	675	73
Forsyth	174	100	74	66	54	27	5	5	4,812	4,518	294
Franklin	23	21	2	14	3	4	0	0	798	790	8
Gaston	90	75	15	46	15	11	0	2	3,470	3,407	63
Gates	9	4	5	2	3	3	0	0	127	110	17
Graham	8	7	1	5	3	0	0	0	150	145	5
Granville	43	34	9	9	17	13	1	1	966	923	43
Greene	15	10	5	4	2	5	0	0	474	454	20
Guilford	384	295	89	118	123	112	6	7	10,572	10,235	337
Halifax	41	30	11	15	11	13	1	1	937	894	43
Harnett	76	49	27	19	22	18	5	3	2,001	1,900	101
Haywood	37	32	5	13	9	11	0	1	1,032	1,011	21
Henderson	63	54	9	34	14	5	0	1	1,557	1,516	41
Hertford	18	14	4	6	7	2	1	0	561	543	18
Hoke	42	31	11	11	9	16	1	1	1,199	1,157	42
Hyde	4	3	1	2	0	1	0	0	46	44	2
Iredell	90	74	16	32	24	22	1	1	2,447	2,376	71
Jackson	22	20	2	10	3	5	0	1	516	506	10
Johnston	115	91	24	36	32	29	5	1	4,189	4,109	80
Jones	6	6	0	3	2	0	0	0	117	117	0
Lee	39	30	9	12	13	6	1	0	1,393	1,363	30
Lenoir	39	29	10	18	6	11	0	1	1,000	970	30
Lincoln	33	27	6	19	6	2	1	2	1,141	1,113	28
Macon	20	19	1	9	2	5	1	0	799	795	4
Madison	11	10	1	5	4	1	0	0	217	213	4
Martin	21	14	7	4	6	6	0	2	556	540	16
McDowell	33	29	4	16	8	7	0	1	681	663	18
Mecklenburg	587	453	134	250	176	72	17	28	20,638	20,076	562
Mitchell	6	6	0	3	0	2	0	0	207	207	0
Montgomery	12	11	1	5	2	1	1	0	386	382	4
Moore	64	45	19	15	10	30	1	2	1,557	1,468	89
Nash	57	42	15	19	16	12	1	1	1,654	1,587	67
New Hanover	100	70	30	33	16	28	1	12	4,441	4,309	132
Northampton	14	12	2	5	4	3	1	1	283	281	2
Onslow	71	49	22	26	9	19	0	7	3,054	2,963	91
Orange	98	79	19	61	16	8	0	5	2,687	2,619	68

County	Total (Centers & Family Child Care Homes)	Total Child Care Centers	Total Family Child Care Home	5- Star	4- Star	3- Star	2- Star	1- Star	Total Enrollment 0-5 years	Total Enrollment Child Care Centers 0- 5 years	Total Enrollment Family Child Care Home- 0-5 years
Pamlico	8	7	1	4	2	1	0	0	214	209	5
Pasquotank	39	30	9	9	9	14	1	0	1,101	1,049	52
Pender	31	17	14	15	7	5	1	3	817	766	51
Perquimans	8	5	3	2	5	1	0	0	174	167	7
Person	33	18	15	8	13	4	1	3	512	469	43
Pitt	110	80	30	45	25	15	3	8	3,526	3,388	138
Polk	6	6	0	5	0	1	0	0	179	179	0
Randolph	51	43	8	24	9	7	1	2	2,022	1,985	37
Richmond	31	26	5	12	4	10	0	0	923	905	18
Robeson	104	95	9	31	29	38	0	0	3,113	3,091	22
Rockingham	53	47	6	17	6	15	1	0	1,243	1,220	23
Rowan	49	40	9	28	12	6	1	1	2,030	1,986	44
Rutherford	33	26	7	16	10	3	1	0	988	961	27
Sampson	47	35	12	17	7	16	2	1	1,407	1,367	40
Scotland	32	24	8	13	10	2	0	1	832	793	39
Stanly	32	25	7	12	8	6	0	5	1,167	1,142	25
Stokes	17	15	2	6	5	2	1	0	573	561	12
Surry	45	33	12	19	3	13	5	2	1,300	1,244	56
Swain	10	9	1	4	2	1	1	1	387	381	6
Transylvania	11	11	0	5	4	0	0	0	322	322	0
Tyrrell	3	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	87	87	0
Union	88	77	11	44	18	7	1	5	3,616	3,572	44
Vance	46	30	16	14	18	7	1	1	1,081	1,037	64
Wake	510	353	157	180	125	90	15	49	23,726	23,075	651
Warren	16	7	9	6	3	6	0	1	222	183	39
Washington	13	11	2	3	2	6	1	0	293	287	6
Watauga	35	27	8	23	3	6	1	1	617	578	39
Wayne	80	65	15	29	17	23	1	3	2,682	2,612	70
Wilkes	36	27	9	17	5	6	3	1	999	960	39
Wilson	27	25	2	10	6	4	0	0	1,709	1,702	7
Yadkin	27	24	3	16	5	3	0	2	430	415	15
Yancey	6	6	0	2	2	0	0	0	178	178	0
NC TOTAL	5,825	4,429	1,396	2,271	1,502	1,136	128	226	183,664	178,009	5,655

Children birth-to-five attending licensed child care who are in high quality centers and homes (4- and 5-star), 2017-18

Source: NC Partnership for Children, Inc. PBIS. Data show: Of those children attending licensed child care, what percentage of each age level are in high-quality centers and homes.

County	Total	Infant	Age 1	Age 2	Age 3	Age 4	Age 5
Alamance	79%	69%	71%	64%	70%	76%	83%
Alexander	70%	28%	28%	49%	56%	76%	72%
Alleghany	69%	43%	22%	32%	58%	81%	100%
Anson	88%	52%	53%	65%	72%	81%	84%
Ashe	100%	73%	76%	64%	76%	79%	84%
Avery	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Beaufort	97%	80%	88%	90%	91%	93%	94%
Bertie	92%	69%	78%	82%	94%	95%	98%
Bladen	90%	59%	53%	60%	63%	82%	87%
Brunswick	94%	73%	77%	77%	71%	77%	61%
Buncombe	88%	77%	75%	65%	67%	72%	73%
Burke	95%	89%	87%	76%	80%	93%	88%
Cabarrus	84%	67%	64%	62%	66%	72%	79%
Caldwell	86%	77%	69%	68%	76%	85%	87%
Camden	81%	75%	20%	40%	17%	63%	76%
Carteret	96%	58%	63%	68%	69%	77%	74%
Caswell	79%	25%	26%	45%	67%	80%	68%
Catawba	87%	64%	67%	64%	65%	74%	78%
Chatham	97%	72%	58%	63%	65%	84%	89%
Cherokee	90%	80%	84%	67%	73%	85%	83%
Chowan	91%	19%	45%	24%	48%	68%	86%
Clay	77%	79%	71%	71%	72%	93%	100%
Cleveland	93%	81%	76%	69%	68%	83%	91%
Columbus	92%	67%	63%	70%	84%	92%	97%
Craven	98%	89%	90%	95%	97%	98%	99%
Cumberland	89%	62%	69%	65%	70%	78%	82%
Currituck	79%	63%	33%	39%	52%	83%	93%
Dare	87%	44%	52%	51%	34%	71%	75%
Davidson	91%	69%	68%	69%	70%	76%	82%
Davie	99%	100%	92%	93%	94%	96%	97%
Duplin	90%	45%	55%	58%	53%	74%	78%
Durham	88%	80%	79%	78%	78%	75%	78%
Edgecombe	93%	86%	89%	87%	91%	98%	99%
Forsyth	87%	70%	71%	66%	63%	74%	70%
Franklin	89%	61%	63%	67%	69%	80%	84%
Gaston	95%	62%	69%	67%	70%	79%	86%
Gates	71%	86%	57%	69%	76%	90%	88%
Graham	94%	100%	100%	95%	83%	95%	100%

County	Total	Infant	Age 1	Age 2	Age 3	Age 4	Age 5
Granville	87%	70%	68%	58%	61%	80%	82%
Greene	79%	16%	18%	40%	67%	89%	95%
Guilford	83%	62%	61%	65%	64%	79%	87%
Halifax	78%	50%	36%	42%	59%	83%	88%
Harnett	90%	75%	73%	76%	75%	79%	85%
Haywood	87%	66%	70%	75%	69%	8%	73%
Henderson	96%	86%	79%	67%	69%	77%	75%
Hertford	95%	74%	60%	66%	68%	83%	77%
Hoke	75%	22%	17%	22%	35%	63%	89%
Hyde	92%	0%	0%	0%	71%	88%	94%
Iredell	77%	70%	66%	69%	73%	79%	83%
Jackson	94%	84%	88%	88%	82%	86%	90%
Johnston	79%	63%	58%	63%	68%	76%	76%
Jones	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Lee	89%	40%	45%	42%	51%	6%	62%
Lenoir	74%	61%	64%	66%	78%	86%	76%
Lincoln	93%	84%	80%	82%	83%	91%	86%
Macon	96%	86%	86%	85%	88%	95%	97%
Madison	95%	100%	100%	100%	95%	63%	82%
Martin	80%	22%	39%	41%	48%	69%	77%
McDowell	94%	77%	79%	73%	80%	88%	88%
Mecklenburg	94%	75%	75%	71%	74%	77%	78%
Mitchell	40%	60%	20%	16%	37%	54%	38%
Montgomery	84%	69%	69%	57%	70%	88%	91%
Moore	45%	52%	44%	41%	51%	54%	46%
Nash	89%	52%	54%	51%	72%	80%	79%
New Hanover	83%	51%	46%	47%	53%	66%	71%
Northampton	78%	63%	28%	46%	57%	79%	88%
Onslow	89%	71%	65%	60%	57%	70%	71%
Orange	92%	79%	80%	78%	78%	85%	93%
Pamlico	100%	80%	92%	100%	92%	89%	82%
Pasquotank	85%	71%	53%	59%	61%	73%	75%
Pender	89%	50%	63%	55%	62%	83%	82%
Perquimans	88%	88%	95%	95%	94%	93%	95%
Person	87%	64%	72%	76%	76%	86%	94%
Pitt	83%	49%	53%	52%	57%	72%	74%
Polk	91%	60%	70%	54%	62%	100%	100%
Randolph	93%	67%	66%	64%	72%	79%	82%
Richmond	76%	26%	38%	43%	41%	65%	79%
Robeson	77%	46%	51%	51%	65%	84%	85%
Rockingham	58%	25%	38%	36%	45%	70%	63%

County	Total	Infant	Age 1	Age 2	Age 3	Age 4	Age 5
Rowan	94%	82%	86%	81%	86%	89%	89%
Rutherford	96%	50%	88%	80%	71%	82%	90%
Sampson	73%	40%	37%	47%	57%	72%	71%
Scotland	96%	68%	73%	58%	78%	84%	94%
Stanly	96%	83%	80%	84%	86%	86%	94%
Stokes	86%	72%	80%	49%	64%	85%	76%
Surry	51%	14%	11%	13%	31%	57%	67%
Swain	97%	90%	86%	81%	60%	79%	98%
Transylvania	96%	90%	95%	98%	94%	98%	100%
Tyrrell	48%	0%	0%	0%	52%	79%	71%
Union	91%	63%	65%	70%	69%	75%	82%
Vance	84%	70%	71%	60%	81%	80%	86%
Wake	86%	72%	72%	73%	72%	74%	73%
Warren	71%	0%	53%	52%	68%	87%	91%
Washington	69%	40%	19%	19%	56%	70%	90%
Watauga	98%	98%	94%	76%	78%	90%	88%
Wayne	77%	41%	48%	58%	60%	69%	73%
Wilkes	89%	69%	69%	72%	62%	86%	94%
Wilson	91%	74%	79%	80%	80%	83%	77%
Yadkin	75%	5%	18%	4%	36%	76%	84%
Yancey	84%	80%	71%	63%	83%	94%	94%
State Totals	87%	67%	67%	66%	69%	77%	80%

Child Care Subsidy by County, Age and Waitlist

Source: Data provided by DCDEE & Child Care Services Association (December 2019)

County Name	# Subsidy (0-5)	Total # Subsidy Served (0-12)	# Waitlist (All Ages)
Alamance	695	1,109	905
Alexander	138	185	127
Alleghany	50	58	17
Anson	96	195	284
Ashe	119	156	26
Avery	49	55	0
Beaufort	268	477	237
Bertie	71	113	94
Bladen	82	145	234
Brunswick	466	683	136
Buncombe	946	1,374	420
Burke	329	565	282
Cabarrus	486	733	719
Caldwell	401	583	224
Camden	10	13	35
Carteret	162	243	55
Caswell	59	72	0
Catawba	622	875	524
Chatham	203	284	140
Cherokee	145	188	4
Chowan	54	87	83
Clay	59	88	44
Cleveland	244	472	448
Columbus	176	340	429
Craven	362	605	383
Cumberland	1,477	2,674	1,677
Currituck	41	57	79
Dare	71	117	68
Davidson	542	809	163
Davie	107	175	145
Duplin	241	415	247
Durham	1,301	1,959	1,040
Edgecombe	184	359	127
Forsyth	1,282	2,079	2,445
Franklin	222	345	267
Gaston	721	1,121	1,271
Gates	25	33	1
Graham	32	35	23
Granville	190	250	145
Greene	93	156	99
Guilford	1,967	3,383	2,634
Halifax	231	342	338
Harnett	432	696	926

County Name	# Subsidy (0-5)	Total # Subsidy Served (0-12)	# Waitlist (All Ages)
Haywood	366	510	131
Henderson	361	489	167
Hertford	125	192	122
Hoke	250	396	10
Hyde	5	5	12
Iredell	560	789	475
Jackson	212	275	41
Johnston	684	1,149	899
Jones	48	85	29
Lee	194	341	121
Lenoir	223	353	59
Lincoln	211	313	318
Macon	196	268	59
Madison	47	60	101
Martin	87	174	96
McDowell	154	215	173
Mecklenburg	3,525	5,652	2,672
Mitchell	54	82	5
Montgomery	86	123	39
Moore	339	431	211
Nash	310	514	84
New Hanover	800	1,205	754
Northampton	71	132	132
Onslow	724	1,110	351
Orange	388	557	120
Pamlico	52	83	38
Pasquotank	131	248	419
Pender	181	287	105
Perquimans	35	57	67
Person	143	217	249
Pitt	758	1,345	1,913
Polk	34	52	52
Randolph	560	860	336
Richmond	228	378	476
Robeson	837	1,445	1,768
Rockingham	370	558	291
Rowan	693	934	609
Rutherford	246	369	263
Sampson	271	411	274
Scotland	228	398	208
Stanly	184	325	266
Stokes	118	182	249
Surry	280	427	267

County Name	# Subsidy (0-5)	Total # Subsidy Served (0-12)	# Waitlist (All Ages)
Swain	73	92	21
Transylvania	122	137	102
Tyrrell	15	21	0
Union	405	675	830
Vance	282	396	491
Wake	3,578	5,100	3,017
Warren	83	105	136
Washington	88	135	69

County Name	# Subsidy (0-5)	Total # Subsidy Served (0-12)	# Waitlist (All Ages)
Watauga	65	95	65
Wayne	487	828	594
Wilkes	220	269	145
Wilson	473	775	237
Yadkin	56	94	128
Yancey	41	51	0
NC Total	36,808	57,472	38,411

Children birth-to-five receiving subsidy who are in high-quality centers and homes (4- and 5-star), by age.

Source: Child Care Services Association. Data show: Of those children receiving subsidy, what percentage of each age level are in high-quality centers and homes. 2017-2018

COUNTY	Birth to Three	Three to Five	Total Birth to Five
ALAMANCE	41%	53%	10%
ALEXANDER	47%	44%	8%
ALLEGHANY	24%	36%	14%
ANSON	36%	46%	16%
ASHE	61%	47%	16%
AVERY	23%	38%	7%
BEAUFORT	17%	50%	21%
BERTIE	20%	42%	10%
BLADEN	23%	42%	9%
BRUNSWICK	30%	44%	11%
BUNCOMBE	30%	46%	10%
BURKE	25%	40%	14%
CABARRUS	33%	55%	4%
CALDWELL	23%	41%	14%
CAMDEN	26%	47%	4%
CARTERET	35%	43%	6%
CASWELL	31%	47%	6%
CATAWBA	29%	47%	13%
CHATHAM	40%	36%	8%
CHEROKEE	29%	42%	14%
CHOWAN	39%	41%	9%
CLAY	25%	33%	16%
CLEVELAND	17%	38%	7%
COLUMBUS	26%	40%	9%
CRAVEN	21%	40%	7%
CUMBERLAND	23%	45%	9%
CURRITUCK	38%	56%	4%
DARE	26%	40%	4%
DAVIDSON	24%	38%	8%
DAVIE	26%	32%	8%
DUPLIN	28%	41%	13%
DURHAM	15%	32%	9%
EDGECOMBE	25%	39%	12%
FORSYTH	23%	46%	10%
FRANKLIN	29%	45%	10%
GASTON	45%	36%	9%
GATES	40%	56%	7%
GRAHAM	34%	42%	11%
GRANVILLE	25%	35%	7%
GREENE	26%	43%	12%
GUILFORD	42%	46%	10%

COUNTY	Birth to Three	Three to Five	Total Birth to Five
HALIFAX	22%	40%	14%
HARNETT	29%	39%	6%
HAYWOOD	28%	42%	18%
HENDERSON	31%	33%	8%
HERTFORD	27%	37%	19%
HOKE	40%	27%	9%
HYDE	32%	43%	6%
IREDELL	24%	46%	8%
JACKSON	26%	43%	14%
JOHNSTON	18%	44%	10%
JONES	22%	37%	18%
LEE	22%	36%	8%
LENOIR	28%	42%	9%
LINCOLN	31%	41%	8%
MACON	30%	71%	15%
MADISON	13%	45%	7%
MARTIN	24%	45%	14%
MCDOWELL	25%	39%	9%
MECKLENBURG	27%	44%	7%
MITCHELL	33%	34%	12%
MONTGOMERY	33%	38%	10%
MOORE	23%	30%	9%
NASH	26%	47%	7%
NEW HANOVER	20%	37%	8%
NORTHHAMPTON	29%	39%	11%
ONSLOW	29%	41%	6%
ORANGE	23%	33%	9%
PAMLICO	21%	37%	14%
PASQUOTANK	28%	42%	11%
PENDER	33%	55%	8%
PERQUIMANS	28%	47%	9%
PERSON	20%	46%	8%
PITT	14%	20%	12%
POLK	29%	44%	10%
RANDOLPH	26%	36%	9%
RICHMOND	22%	39%	12%
ROBESON	29%	42%	15%
ROCKINGHAM	31%	36%	12%
ROWAN	26%	41%	11%
RUTHERFORD	31%	38%	9%
SAMPSON	24%	32%	11%
SCOTLAND	30%	52%	14%
STANLY	30%	60%	7%
STOKES	31%	53%	7%

COUNTY	Birth to Three	Three to Five	Total Birth to Five
SURRY	31%	46%	9%
SWAIN	37%	64%	8%
TRANSYLVANIA	28%	56%	6%
TYRRELL	26%	38%	9%
UNION	42%	38%	6%
VANCE	28%	45%	16%
WAKE	37%	46%	6%
WARREN	40%	48%	12%
WASHINGTON	34%	32%	12%
WATAUGA	23%	45%	5%
WAYNE	47%	50%	9%
WILKES	25%	39%	7%
WILSON	37%	34%	15%
YADKIN	44%	41%	3%
YANCEY	21%	41%	6%
NC Totals	22%	40%	9%

Children birth-to-five receiving subsidy who are in high-quality centers and homes (4- and 5-star), by race.

Source: NC Partnership for Children, Inc. PBIS. Data show: Of those children receiving subsidy, what percentage of each race/ethnicity are in high-quality centers and homes 2017-2018.

COUNTY	% AmInd/NatAlsk	% Asian	% Black	% Hispanic	% Other	% White
ALAMANCE	67	100	69	100	100	85
ALEXANDER	N/A	100	91	100	100	64
ALLEGHANY	N/A	N/A	100	100	N/A	63
ANSON	100	N/A	90	100	100	76
ASHE	100	100	100	100	100	100
AVERY	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	N/A	97
BEAUFORT	N/A	100	97	100	100	98
BERTIE	N/A	100	91	100	100	100
BLADEN	71	N/A	90	100	100	88
BRUNSWICK	100	100	90	100	100	96
BUNCOMBE	67	100	86	100	93	87
BURKE	100	97	96	100	95	95
CABARRUS	50	100	86	100	100	78
CALDWELL	N/A	100	80	100	100	87
CAMDEN	N/A	50	69	100	83	86
CARTERET	50	100	100	100	97	96
CASWELL	N/A	N/A	87	100	100	67
CATAWBA	N/A	94	89	100	100	83
CHATHAM	100	100	95	100	100	99
CHEROKEE	100	100	100	100	100	89
CHOWAN	N/A	100	95	100	100	76
CLAY	N/A	N/A	60	100	N/A	77
CLEVELAND	100	100	92	96	100	93
COLUMBUS	96	100	89	100	96	95
CRAVEN	100	100	98	100	100	100
CUMBERLAND	97	100	88	100	98	89
CURRITUCK	N/A	N/A	70	100	100	80
DARE	N/A	100	50	100	100	84
DAVIDSON	100	100	90	100	99	90
DAVIE	N/A	N/A	100	100	100	99
DUPLIN	100	N/A	89	99	69	85
DURHAM	50	93	88	100	90	83
EDGECOMBE	N/A	N/A	92	100	100	96
FORSYTH	63	100	86	100	92	85
FRANKLIN	N/A	N/A	93	100	100	82
GASTON	100	100	94	100	100	95
GATES	N/A	N/A	61	N/A	67	86
GRAHAM	100	N/A	N/A	100	100	93
GRANVILLE	0	100	81	100	100	91
GREENE	100	100	69	100	100	83
GUILFORD	85	99	80	100	99	85

COUNTY	% AmInd/NatAlsk	% Asian	% Black	% Hispanic	% Other	% White
HALIFAX	65	100	79	100	100	65
HARNETT	100	100	85	100	100	93
HAYWOOD	75	50	86	100	92	87
HENDERSON	100	100	87	100	100	98
HERTFORD	100	100	99	100	100	58
HOKE	57	100	63	100	96	84
HYDE	N/A	N/A	73	100	100	100
IREDELL	100	100	68	100	100	82
JACKSON	100	100	100	100	100	94
JOHNSTON	75	100	76	100	96	76
JONES	N/A	N/A	97	100	100	95
LEE	100	100	85	100	100	85
LENOIR	100	N/A	67	100	83	84
LINCOLN	100	100	93	100	100	93
MACON	100	N/A	100	100	100	97
MADISON	100	N/A	100	100	100	95
MARTIN	N/A	100	75	100	80	86
MCDOWELL	N/A	25	88	100	100	95
MECKLENBURG	85	99	94	99	100	97
MITCHELL	N/A	100	33	100	N/A	32
MONTGOMERY	N/A	100	82	100	100	77
MOORE	50	N/A	39	100	50	50
NASH	100	100	92	100	100	70
NEW HANOVER	90	100	77	100	89	87
NORTHHAMPTON	N/A	N/A	77	100	100	79
ONSLOW	60	83	89	100	98	89
ORANGE	N/A	100	87	100	100	93
PAMLICO	100	N/A	100	100	100	100
PASQUOTANK	100	N/A	86	100	100	77
PENDER	100	100	83	100	100	90
PERQUIMANS	N/A	0	84	100	N/A	93
PERSON	N/A	N/A	88	100	100	82
PITT	75	100	79	100	96	94
POLK	100	N/A	69	100	100	92
RANDOLPH	N/A	100	92	100	100	93
RICHMOND	68	100	80	100	95	64
ROBESON	70	100	81	100	95	79
ROCKINGHAM	0	100	55	100	82	56
ROWAN	100	100	97	100	100	92
RUTHERFORD	N/A	100	97	100	100	96
SAMPSON	57	100	69	97	100	61
SCOTLAND	91	100	98	100	100	94
STANLY	100	100	94	100	100	97
STOKES	50	100	90	100	100	86

COUNTY	% AmInd/NatAlsk	% Asian	% Black	% Hispanic	% Other	% White
SURRY	N/A	100	26	100	88	42
SWAIN	98	N/A	100	100	100	96
TRANSYLVANIA	N/A	100	96	100	100	96
TYRRELL	N/A	N/A	53	100	N/A	29
UNION	100	100	89	100	100	90
VANCE	N/A	100	84	100	100	84
WAKE	67	99	83	97	94	90
WARREN	88	100	68	100	67	77
WASHINGTON	N/A	N/A	71	100	100	50
WATAUGA	N/A	100	100	100	100	98
WAYNE	N/A	82	76	100	97	73
WILKES	100	100	79	100	100	89
WILSON	100	100	91	100	100	89
YADKIN	N/A	100	69	98	100	66
YANCEY	N/A	N/A	50	100	N/A	86
State Totals	76	98	85	100	97	87

Number of at risk 4-year-olds per county

Source: Data provided by the Division of Child Development, NC Department of Health and Human Services (January 2018)

Alamance	938
Alexander	187
Alleghany	50
Anson	129
Ashe	123
Avery	69
Beaufort	237
Bertie	91
Bladen	187
Brunswick	569
Buncombe	1,371
Burke	442
Cabarrus	1,240
Caldwell	422
Camden	52
Carteret	327
Caswell	111
Catawba	902
Chatham	321
Cherokee	115
Chowan	75
Clay	46
Cleveland	548
Columbus	320
Craven	775
Cumberland	2,698
Currituck	142
Dare	182
Davidson	904
Davie	190
Duplin	384
Durham	2,281
Edgecombe	305
Forsyth	2,387

Franklin	355
Gaston	1,308
Gates	52
Graham	46
Granville	281
Greene	109
Guilford	3,189
Halifax	287
Harnett	1,019
Haywood	290
Henderson	561
Hertford	118
Hoke	492
Hyde	25
Iredell	924
Jackson	199
Johnston	1,181
Jones	53
Lee	407
Lenoir	338
Lincoln	413
Macon	175
Madison	100
Martin	123
McDowell	236
Mecklenburg	7,392
Mitchell	74
Montgomery	156
Moore	529
Nash	524
New Hanover	1,186
Northampton	87
Onslow	2,226
Orange	633

Pamlico	46
Pasquotank	266
Pender	325
Perquimans	71
Person	225
Pitt	1,094
Polk	83
Randolph	827
Richmond	261
Robeson	874
Rockingham	498
Rowan	801
Rutherford	351
Sampson	425
Scotland	221
Stanly	352
Stokes	202
Surry	384
Swain	109
Transylvania	151
Tyrrell	22
Union	1,236
Vance	288
Wake	6,630
Warren	99
Washington	66
Watauga	190
Wayne	879
Wilkes	345
Wilson	485
Yadkin	190
Yancey	86
NC Total	62,287

Number of NC Pre-K Slots by County, with expansion and total sites.

Source: Data provided by the Division of Child Development, NC Department of Health and Human Services (January 2018)

NC Pre-K Contracting Agency	County	Initial Total # of Children SFY 17-18	Additional Slots Received	Total Children after expansion	Total NC Pre-K Sites
Alamance Partnership for Children	Alamance	426	24	450	24
Albemarle Alliance for Children and Families, Inc.	Camden	36		36	1
Alexander County Partnership for Children	Alexander	69		69	4
Alleghany County Schools	Alleghany	62		62	3
Alliance for Children	Union	375		375	12
Anson County Partnership for Children	Anson	152		152	5
Ashe County Schools	Ashe	134		134	5
Avery County Schools	Avery	109		109	6
Beaufort/Hyde Partnership for Children	Beaufort, Hyde	139	9	148	5
Bertie County Schools	Bertie	142		142	3
Bladen County Schools	Bladen	204		204	8
Buncombe County Partnership for Children	Buncombe	357	38	395	24
Burke County Smart Start, Inc.	Burke	379		379	19
Cabarrus County Partnership for Children	Cabarrus	411		411	11
Caldwell County Schools	Caldwell	156	30	186	16
Carteret County Schools	Carteret	202	26	228	11
Caswell County Partnership for Children	Caswell	71	9	80	5
Catawba County Partnership for Children	Catawba	330	26	356	20
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools	Mecklenburg	1,206	215	1,421	34
Chatham County Partnership for Children	Chatham	250	19	269	11
Children's Council of Watauga County, Inc.	Watauga	81	10	91	20
Cleveland County Partnership for Children, Inc.	Cleveland	421		421	16
Columbus County Schools	Columbus	326	19	345	3
Community Action Opportunities	Madison	44	3	47	12
Craven County Board of Education	Craven	237	27	264	3
Currituck County Schools	Currituck	36	9	45	4
Dare County Schools	Dare	92	14	106	12
Davie County Schools	Davie	163	3	166	22
Down East Partnership for Children	Nash, Edgecombe	564		564	10
Duplin County Schools	Duplin	272	32	304	25
Durham's Partnership for Children	Durham	490	52	542	2
Edenton - Chowan Board of Education	Chowan	70		70	4
Elizabeth City - Pasquotank County Schools	Pasquotank	134	7	141	9
Franklin Granville Vance Smart Start, Inc.	Franklin, Granville, Vance	128	18	146	32
Gaston County Schools	Gaston	811	28	839	1

Gates County Schools	Gates	31		31	8
Granville County Schools	Granville	121	30	151	79
Guilford County Partnership for Children	Guilford	1,965	72	2,037	15
Halifax-Warren Smart Start Partnership for Children	Halifax, Warren	360		360	11
Harnett County Partnership for Children	Harnett	320	26	346	3
Hertford County Schools	Hertford	162		162	10
Hoke County Schools	Hoke	401		401	2
Hyde County Schools	Hyde	31		31	2
Intermountain Children's Services, Inc.	Mitchell	34		34	12
Iredell County Partnership for Children	Iredell	264	20	284	4
Jones County Partnership for Children	Jones	71	2	73	8
Lee County Partnership for Children	Lee	233	16	249	8
Lenoir/Greene County. Partnership for Children	Lenior, Greene	246		246	3
Martin County Schools	Martin	127		127	9
McDowell County Schools	McDowell	176	9	185	7
Montgomery Public Schools	Montgomery	175	9	184	6
Moore County Schools	Moore	44	6	50	15
New Hanover County Schools	New Hanover	532	20	552	4
Northampton County Schools	Northampton	120		120	19
Onslow County Partnership for Children, Inc.	Onslow	736		736	22
Orange County Partnership for Young Children	Orange	265	23	288	2
Pamlico County Schools	Pamlico	58		58	63
Partnership for Children of Cumberland County, Inc.	Cumberland	1,825		1,825	26
Partnership for Children of Johnston County, Inc.	Johnston	329	16	345	11
Partnership for Children of Lincoln/Gaston Counties, Inc.	Lincoln, Gaston	161	13	174	19
Pender County Schools	Pender	213	37	250	8
Perquimans County Schools	Perquimans	36		36	1
Person County Partnership for Children	Person	123		123	3
Pitt County Schools	Pitt	495	17	512	19
Polk County Schools	Polk	79		79	4
Randolph County Partnership for Children	Randolph	293	18	311	9
Region A Partnership for Children	Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain	560	19	579	31
Richmond County Schools	Richmond	231		231	8
Robeson County Partnership for Children, Inc.	Robeson	828		828	41
Rockingham County Partnership for Children, Inc.	Rockingham	218	4	222	19
Rutherford County Schools	Rutherford	228		228	13
Sampson County Partnership for Children	Sampson	324		324	10

Scotland County Schools	Scotland	240	8	248	9
Smart Start of Brunswick County, Inc.	Brunswick	250		250	9
Smart Start of Davidson County	Davidson	347		347	18
Smart Start of Forsyth County	Forsyth	610	67	677	24
Smart Start of Transylvania County	Transylvania	64	18	82	4
Smart Start Rowan, Inc.	Rowan	325	12	337	19
Stanly County Partnership for Children, Inc.	Stanly	300		300	11
Stokes Partnership for Children	Stokes	180		180	8
Surry County Early Childhood Partnership	Surry	261		261	17
Partnership for Children of Wayne County, Inc.	Wayne	540	15	555	6
Tyrrell County Schools	Tyrrell	18		18	1
Vance County Schools	Vance	140	5	145	7
Wake County Smart Start	Wake	1,013	527	1,540	49
Washington County Schools	Washington	72	11	83	2
Western Carolina Community Action, Inc.	Henderson	177	12	189	12
Wilkes County Schools	Wilkes	379		379	13
Wilson County Partnership for Children	Wilson	119		119	4
Yadkin County Schools	Yadkin	164		164	12
Yancey County Schools	Yancey	36	5	41	3
NC TOTAL		26,729	1,655	28,384	1,164

Positive School Climate

Percent of early care and education programs and schools integrating social-emotional strategies. Students show better academic and social-emotional outcomes when they learn in positive school climates, where they feel safe, connected and engaged.

Source: NC Department of Public Instruction, 2020

NO DISTRICT OR STATE DATA AVAILABLE

All NC LEAs receive support from the NC DPI Integrated Academic and Behavior Systems Division to revise and or create frameworks of a multi-tiered systems of support that support students in the areas of academics, behavior and social-emotional supports.

Promotion to Next Grade

Percent of children promoted to next grade (K-3). A large body of research suggests that students retained in the early years achieve at lower levels, are more likely to drop out of high school, and have worse social-emotional outcomes than similar students who are promoted.

Source: 2016-2017 Child Care Services Association.

Percent of children retained in same grade (K and 1st grade)

County	% Children Held Back in Kindergarten	% Children Held Back in First Grade
Alamance	3%	3%
Alexander	3%	4%
Alleghany	7%	5%
Anson	10%	9%
Ashe	0%	0%
Avery	6%	1%
Beaufort	4%	2%
Bertie	5%	6%
Bladen	6%	7%
Brunswick	2%	4%
Buncombe	2%	1%
Burke	1%	0%
Cabarrus	2%	1%
Caldwell	5%	4%
Camden	5%	5%
Carteret	3%	2%
Caswell	9%	7%
Catawba	2%	2%
Chatham	1%	0%
Cherokee	11%	4%
Chowan	11%	8%
Clay	19%	8%
Cleveland	1%	0%
Columbus	9%	11%
Craven	5%	4%
Cumberland	9%	6%
Currituck	6%	2%
Dare	2%	1%
Davidson	4%	3%
Davie	4%	5%
Duplin	5%	4%

County	% Children Held Back in Kindergarten	% Children Held Back in First Grade
Durham	3%	2%
Edgecombe	5%	6%
Forsyth	4%	2%
Franklin	5%	8%
Gaston	7%	4%
Gates	8%	11%
Graham	12%	12%
Granville	6%	3%
Greene	1%	3%
Guilford	2%	1%
Halifax	5%	4%
Harnett	3%	2%
Haywood	4%	5%
Henderson	3%	2%
Hertford	5%	12%
Hoke	6%	8%
Hyde	16%	4%
Iredell	3%	2%
Jackson	4%	4%
Johnston	2%	4%
Jones	4%	3%
Lee	1%	1%
Lenoir	6%	10%
Lincoln	5%	3%
Macon	10%	4%
Madison	4%	4%
Martin	10%	10%
McDowell	1%	0%
Mecklenburg	2%	2%
Mitchell	11%	3%
Montgomery	3%	2%

County	% Children Held Back in Kindergarten	% Children Held Back in First Grade
Moore	3%	2%
Nash	5%	3%
New Hanover	2%	2%
Northampton	28%	42%
Onslow	3%	6%
Orange	1%	0%
Pamlico	10%	8%
Pasquotank	7%	7%
Pender	1%	1%
Perquimans	6%	4%
Person	6%	4%
Pitt	7%	4%
Polk	6%	7%
Randolph	3%	2%
Richmond	4%	5%
Robeson	7%	9%
Rockingham	7%	6%
Rowan	5%	3%
Rutherford	11%	9%
Sampson	10%	10%

County	% Children Held Back in Kindergarten	% Children Held Back in First Grade
Scotland	1%	1%
Stanly	6%	4%
Stokes	7%	3%
Surry	3%	1%
Swain	14%	2%
Transylvania	2%	0%
Tyrrell	0%	14%
Union	3%	3%
Vance	9%	12%
Wake	3%	2%
Warren	10%	5%
Washington	11%	13%
Watauga	3%	2%
Wayne	9%	8%
Wilkes	6%	4%
Wilson	11%	13%
Yadkin	8%	4%
Yancey	6%	3%

Read to Achieve Retentions

Source: County data source: Source: NC Public Schools/NC State Board of Education/NC DPI Report to the NC General Assembly
Improve K-3 Literacy Accountability Measures, 2017-2018

State of North Carolina District Level Report 2017-18 Read to Achieve Grade 3 End-of-Year Results	Percentage of students retained for not demonstrating reading proficiency on 3rd grade standards
District Name	%
Alamance-Burlington Schools	16
Alexander County Schools	11.1
Alleghany County Schools	<5
Anson County Schools	22.6
Ashe County Schools	7.5
Asheboro City Schools	10.1
Asheville City Schools	10.8
Avery County Schools	<5
Beaufort County Schools	11.9
Bertie County Schools	29.6
Bladen County Schools	9.7
Brunswick County Schools	10.9
Buncombe County Schools	14.8
Burke County Schools	6.1
Cabarrus County Schools	18
Caldwell County Schools	11
Camden County Schools	<5
Carteret County Public Schools	<5
Caswell County Schools	22.2
Catawba County Schools	12.1
Chapel Hill-Carrboro Schools	10.2
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools	21.6
Chatham County Schools	10.5
Cherokee County Schools	<5
Clay County Schools	<5
Cleveland County Schools	5.3
Clinton City Schools	21.2
Columbus County Schools	12.7
Craven County Schools	16.4
Cumberland County Schools	10.3
Currituck County Schools	<5
Dare County Schools	9.3
Davidson County Schools	10.9
Davie County Schools	11.3
Duplin County Schools	16.2
Durham Public Schools	25.5

State of North Carolina District Level Report 2017-18 Read to Achieve Grade 3 End-of-Year Results	Percentage of students retained for not demonstrating reading proficiency on 3rd grade standards
District Name	%
Edenton-Chowan Schools	25.3
Edgecombe County Public School	27.1
Elkin City Schools	9.8
Forsyth County Schools	20.4
Franklin County Schools	12.3
Gaston County Schools	15.8
Gates County Schools	21.7
Graham County Schools	25
Granville County Schools	23.9
Greene County Schools	17.5
Guilford County Schools	19.9
Halifax County Schools	28.3
Harnett County Schools	25.3
Haywood County Schools	5
Henderson County Schools	5.7
Hertford County Schools	9.2
Hickory City Schools	18.6
Hoke County Schools	16.3
Hyde County Schools	38
Iredell-Statesville Schools	14.1
Jackson County Schools	10
Johnston County Schools	7.9
Jones County Schools	9.9
Kannapolis City Schools	43.9
Lee County Schools	22
Lenoir County Public Schools	12.8
Lexington City Schools	13.3
Lincoln County Schools	10.5
Macon County Schools	12.1
Madison County Schools	<5
Martin County Schools	22.6
McDowell County Schools	10.5
Mitchell County Schools	12.4
Montgomery County Schools	7.6
Moore County Schools	7.3
Mooresville City Schools	5.4

State of North Carolina District Level Report 2017-18 Read to Achieve Grade 3 End-of-Year Results	Percentage of students retained for not demonstrating reading proficiency on 3rd grade standards
District Name	%
Mount Airy City Schools	13.3
Nash-Rocky Mount Schools	16.7
New Hanover County Schools	15.1
Newton Conover City Schools	<5
Northampton County Schools	33.6
Onslow County Schools	8.3
Orange County Schools	20.4
Pamlico County Schools	20.2
Pasquotank County Schools	11.6
Pender County Schools	18.6
Perquimans County Schools	13.9
Person County Schools	12.1
Pitt County Schools	13.3
Polk County Schools	6.7
Randolph County Schools	15.8
Richmond County Schools	16.9
Roanoke Rapids City Schools	26.6
Robeson County Schools	29.1
Rockingham County Schools	19.7
Rowan-Salisbury Schools	34.9
Rutherford County Schools	<5
Sampson County Schools	8.8
Scotland County Schools	22.6

State of North Carolina District Level Report 2017-18 Read to Achieve Grade 3 End-of-Year Results	Percentage of students retained for not demonstrating reading proficiency on 3rd grade standards
District Name	%
Stanly County Schools	15.5
Stokes County Schools	6.1
Surry County Schools	5
Swain County Schools	21.2
Thomasville City Schools	44.6
Transylvania County Schools	6.8
Tyrrell County Schools	10.2
Union County Public Schools	11.5
Vance County Schools	27.2
Wake County Schools	14.3
Warren County Schools	14.7
Washington County Schools	23.9
Watauga County Schools	5.7
Wayne County Public Schools	8.7
Weldon City Schools	56.9
Whiteville City Schools	23.7
Wilkes County Schools	7.8
Wilson County Schools	10.9
Yadkin County Schools	7.7
Yancey County Schools	<5
State of North Carolina	15.5

Regular School Attendance

Percent of children with regular attendance in early care and education programs and schools. Children, particularly those with multiple risk factors, benefit from regular attendance in child care, where they establish good attendance and learning habits. Consistent school attendance in the early grades helps boost children's academic learning, achievement, and motivation. Early chronic absenteeism is associated with lower academic achievement, truancy in middle school, school dropout, delinquency, and substance abuse. The educational experience of all children is impacted when teachers must divert their attention to meet the needs of chronically absent children when they are in school.

Source: US Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights Data Collection, 2015-16

Students in NC schools with K, 1st, 2nd, and/or 3rd grades, who are chronically absent

Local Education Agency	Amlnd/ Alaska Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Native HA/Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White
Alamance-Burlington Schools	9.6%	10.4%	13.0%	10.7%	9.09%	19.1%	15.2%
Alexander County Schools		5.2%	8.6%	7.4%		16.9%	8.7%
Alleghany County Schools				12.8%		18.2%	12.2%
Anson County Schools	40.0%	8.3%	15.6%	19.7%		27.3%	21.3%
Ashe County Schools				4.8%		16.7%	10.1%
Asheboro City Schools		17.8%	4.6%	3.5%		12.4%	6.1%
Asheville City Schools		20.0%	16.5%	16.5%	42.9%	18.1%	9.6%
Avery County Schools							
Beaufort County Schools		40%	15.4%	6.0%		16.3%	16.7%
Bertie County Schools			10.9%	27.6%		30.0%	26.85
Bladen County Schools	27.9%		13.6%	9.6%		29.5%	21.1%
Brunswick County Schools	25.0%	4.7%	8.6%	8.7%		16.6%	16.4%
Buncombe County Schools	23.0%	8.5%	16.4%	8.0%	13.8%	12.3%	11.8%
Burke County Schools	16.7%	2.0%	8.2%	4.2%	16.7%	7.6%	8.7%
Cabarrus County Schools	8.2%	8.0%	8.5%	8.8%	5.3%	11.1%	8.9%
Caldwell County Schools		19.5%	12.9%	14.3%		16.3%	13.9%
Camden County Schools		20.0%	8.75	8.0%	50.0%	22.3%	17.2%
Carteret County Public Schools	26.7%	21.1%	15.4%	12.0%		18.8%	15.2%
Caswell County Schools			13.9%	15.5%		20.7%	21.8%
Catawba County Schools	16.7%	4.5%	10.6%	6.9%		15.3%	12.1%
Chapel Hill-Carrboro City Schools		6.0%	10.6%	6.9%		8.7%	6.7%
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools	5.9%	1.9%	5.2%	3.2%	3.6%	5.3%	1.5%
Chatham County Schools		22.2%	10.3%	7.5%		19.4%	8.4%
Cherokee County Schools	42.1%	13.3%	12.9%	13.6%		18.9%	14.0%
Clay County Schools				8.7%		20%	16.6%
Cleveland County Schools		10.8%	6.4%	7.9%		14.0%	9.6%

Clinton City Schools	17.1%	18.2%	9.9%	7.07%		31.6%	9.1%
Columbus County Schools	20.0%	50.0%	13.5%	16.2%	50.0%	25.0%	16.6%
Craven County Schools	15.4%	9.4%	10.9%	10.9%	7.1%	16.5%	13.0%
Cumberland County Schools	20.7%	10.0%	11.3%	14.0%%	15.2%	14.7%	13.4%
Currituck County Schools			4.2%	126%		9.5%	6.9%
Dare County Schools	50.0%	11.8%	16.4%	13.1%		23.4%	17.3%
Davidson County Schools	6.3%	5.0%	7.85	7.8%		8.1%	7.6%
Davie County Schools	50.0%		8.3%	8.3%		12.7%	11.0%
Duplin County Schools	29.2%	17.4%	14.5%	10.5%%	33.3%	29.4%	19.4%
Durham Public Schools	26.7%	12.6%	13.5%	9.7%	11.1%	14.9%	9.2%
Edenton-Chowan Schools			10.3%	7.8%		15.8%	11.1%
Edgecombe County Public Schools			16.8%	10.0%	100%	30.6%	26.3%
Elizabeth City-Pasquotank Public Schools	16.7%	24.0%	15.2%	12.3%		18.8%	20.2%
Elkin City Schools			16.0%	1.4%		9.1%	0.5%
Franklin County Schools	12.5%	7.7%	9.4%	7.0%		17.7%	12.1%
Gaston County Schools	29.2%	7.1%	7.7%	6.9%	22.2%	13.8%	12.6%
Gates County Schools	100%		12.6%	40.0%		25.5%	14.9%
Graham County Schools	20.7%	50.0%		8.0%			15.6%
Granville County Schools	28.6%	5.4%	9.4%	6.5%		15.6%	11.7%
Greene County Schools			7.7%	12.3%		10.0%	13.2%
Guilford County Schools	26.4%	10.6%	13.7%	12.4%	19.8%	15.2%	11.7%
Halifax County Schools	7.9%		17.9%	10.9%		24.3%	24.2%
Harnett County Schools	23.9%	15.8%	12.0%	11.1%	15.4%	15.5%	14.8%
Haywood County Schools	23.1%		17.4%	4.0%		15.4%	10.4%
Henderson County Schools	9.8%	6.9%	9.8%	7.3%	18.4%	14.6%	10.4%
Hertford County Schools	58.3%	18.2%	15.1%	6.5%		42.3%	22.0%
Hickory City Schools		8.4%	10.2%	5.8%		9.3%	9.1%
Hoke County Schools	24.9%	9.1%	9.6%	8.6%		12.6%	14.9%
Hyde County Schools			5.6%	6.1%		20.0%	16.3%
Iredell-Statesville Schools	12.5%	4.3%	12.4%	10.9%		19.0%	10.0%
Jackson County Schools	35.0%	4.7%	10.0%	12.3%		21.0%	21.0%
Johnston County Schools			0.2%	0.6%%		1.1%	0.3%
Jones County Schools			13.8%	12.2%		24.3%	19.0%
Kannapolis City Schools	14.3%	7.7%	12.0%	7.9%		16.1%	13.2%
Lee County Schools	7.4%		8.7%	5.7%		12.7%	9.5%
Lenoir County Public Schools			12.5%	11.5%		13.1%	15.9%

Lexington City Schools	16.75	12.5%	8.5%	8.6%	66.7%	17.4%	18.85
Lincoln County Schools	16.7%	11.8%	8.9%	10.4%		14.1%	11.1%
Macon County Schools			23.5%	6.1%		4.5%	12.1%
Madison County Schools				8.3%			9.0%
Martin County Schools		80.0%	17.3%	16.3%		28.6%	19.5%
McDowell County Schools		8.0%	14.0%	8.6%		10.9%	12.9%
Mitchell County Schools		25.0%		7.4%		13.3%	14.3%
Montgomery County Schools	100%	21.6%	9.5%	7.5%		23.1%	15.0%
Moore County Schools	24.0%	13.1%	14.2%	11.2%		23.5%	16.3%
Mooresville Graded School District	22.2%	4.2%	7.7%	9.3%		11.4%	6.5%
Mount Airy City Schools			13.6%	12.4%		34.8%	16.1%
Nash-Rocky Mount Schools	13.3%	6.6%	15.1%	13.0%		23.2%	16.8%
New Hanover County Schools	33.3%	11.8%	15.5%	10.4%	40.0%	15.8%	11.1%
Newton Conover City Schools		2.3%	17.3%	7.9%		14.8%	15.2%
Northampton County Schools			19.0%	23.8%	100%	15.4%	23.3%
Onslow County Schools	23.0%	8.8%	9.8%	13.0%	30.4%	13.3%	14.3%
Orange County Schools		13.6%	14.8%	9.2%		17.2%	9.6%
Pamlico County Schools			9.6%	8.5%		13.6%	16.8%
Pender County Schools	33.3%	20.7%	14.4%	10.6%		26.2%	18.2%
Perquimans County Schools			16.1%	27.6%		10.5%	16.1%
Person County Schools			9.2%	9.5%	50.0%	17.6%	7.7%
Pitt County Schools	12.9%	4.5%	10.3%	7.3%		12.8%	8.5%
Polk County Schools			6.5%	10.0%		13.8%	8.3%
Randolph County Schools	11.8%	12.5%	10.75	8.1%		19.1%	12.6%
Richmond County Schools	16.5%		12.7%	6.3%		21.7%	14.5%
Roanoke Rapids City Schools	33.3%	6.3%	15.3%	16.8%		22.0%	13.8%
Robeson County Schools	22.1%	10.5%	15.6%	9.8%	27.3%	21.8%	21.0%
Rockingham County Schools	50.0%	13.6%	10.1%	10.4%		14.2%	11.9%
Rowan-Salisbury Schools	9.1%	5.6%	14.8%	10.8%	12.5%	25.0%	14.1%
Rutherford County Schools			9.3%	7.85		15.5%	14.2%
Sampson County Schools	26.3%		13.6%	13.6%	25.0%	20.7%	14.5%
Scotland County Schools	26.85	8.7%	11.8%	16.1%		19.4%	15.3%
Stanly County Schools	25.0%	7.1%	1.2%	11.0%	20.0%	16.7%	12.3%
Stokes County Schools	33.3%		16.1%	23.9%		26.8%	16.4%
Surry County Schools		12.5%	11.2%	6.0%		18.85	12.0%

Swain County Schools	27.85			4.9%		18.8%	19.2%
Thomasville City Schools			9.6%	8.7%		12.7%	15.0%
Transylvania County Schools	75.0%		11.0%	12.5%		10.8%	12.9%
Tyrrell County Schools			3.5%	8.9%			17.0%
Union County Public Schools	17.9%	5.5%	7.85	5.4%	25.0%	10.5%	7.1%
Vance County Schools		4.3%	12.3%	6.1%		18.8%	16.3%
Wake County Schools	16.1%	8.2%	12.2%	11.0%	9.5%	13.4%	7.8%
Warren County Schools	10.9%		23.5%	17.5%	100%	42.9%	23.8%
Washington County Schools			1.2%	5.9%		11.8%	2.8%
Watauga County Schools	25.0%	22.7%	16.7%	12.6%		18.8%	13.6%
Wayne County Public Schools	30.8%	9.9%	18.9%	13.6%	22.2%	16.5%	18.3%
Weldon City Schools			13.3%	28.6%		100%	28.6%
Whiteville City Schools			10.0%	14.9%		14.8%	15.3%
Wilkes County Schools		6.5%	7.0%	6.7%		7.9%	7.5%
Wilson County Schools	12.5%	14.1%	8.1%	6.7%		20.2%	8.9%
Winston Salem/Forsyth County Schools	36.8%	9.4%	16.5%	11.7%	21.7%	16.9%	11.4%
Yadkin County Schools	14.3%		6.2%	4.5%		12.3%	7.6%
Yancey County Schools	33.3%		66.7%	8.2%		58.8%	21.6%

NOTE: Cells with more than 0 but fewer than 5 counts were suppressed.

Summer Learning

Percent of children who maintain literacy gains over the summer. While middle-income students tend to hold steady or gain in learning over the summer, low-income students lose ground, likely because students from disadvantaged families are less able to access educational resources than their more advantaged peers during the summer months. The cumulative effects of year-after-year summer learning loss contributes to the achievement gaps between higher- and lower-income students. Learning opportunities and book access during the summer months can contribute to children's short- and long-term outcomes.

NO COUNTY OR STATE DATA AVAILABLE

Family Economic Security

Percent of families with children (0-18) living in poverty and percent of families with children 0-6 living below 200% poverty level. Child poverty is linked with a range of negative outcomes including diminished academic achievement, more health problems, lower nutrition, and lower overall well-being. Children from extremely poor families tend to have lower cognitive skills, including reading, problem solving, and concentration ability, and experience greater developmental losses during the non-academic year.

Source: American Community Survey 5-year estimates (2013-2017)

Percent of children living in poverty (ages 0-18) and percent of children (0-6) living below 200% poverty level

County	Ages 0-18	Ages 0-6 below 200%
Alamance	21%	60%
Alexander	16%	54%
Alleghany	35%	79%
Anson	33%	64%
Ashe	25%	48%
Avery	28%	51%
Beaufort	31%	64%
Bertie	39%	71%
Bladen	28%	65%
Brunswick	22%	52%
Buncombe	18%	42%
Burke	22%	69%
Cabarrus	14%	40%
Caldwell	22%	58%
Camden	11%	32%
Carteret	21%	46%
Caswell	29%	57%
Catawba	19%	53%
Chatham	16%	42%
Cherokee	29%	56%
Chowan	29%	66%
Clay	27%	69%
Cleveland	27%	67%
Columbus	35%	66%
Craven	26%	51%
Cumberland	25%	60%
Currituck	15%	35%
Dare	16%	47%
Davidson	22%	54%
Davie	17%	53%
Duplin	31%	75%
Durham	24%	46%
Edgecombe	39%	73%

Forsyth	25%	55%
Franklin	24%	50%
Gaston	22%	53%
Gates	23%	24%
Graham	28%	60%
Granville	17%	48%
Greene	44%	74%
Guilford	20%	52%
Halifax	40%	67%
Harnett	23%	57%
Haywood	23%	53%
Henderson	18%	44%
Hertford	37%	70%
Hoke	23%	59%
Hyde	31%	50%
Iredell	16%	45%
Jackson	23%	54%
Johnston	21%	51%
Jones	33%	74%
Lee	23%	56%
Lenoir	38%	73%
Lincoln	15%	55%
McDowell	26%	62%
Macon	27%	58%
Madison	24%	61%
Martin	31%	55%
Mecklenburg	17%	41%
Mitchell	26%	40%
Montgomery	27%	59%
Moore	15%	38%
Nash	24%	43%
New Hanover	21%	43%
Northampton	40%	68%
Onslow	18%	56%
Orange	11%	29%

Pamlico	31%	49%
Pasquotank	31%	51%
Pender	18%	41%
Perquimans	28%	49%
Person	22%	65%
Pitt	30%	52%
Polk	21%	63%
Randolph	23%	54%
Richmond	37%	71%
Robeson	44%	71%
Rockingham	24%	62%
Rowan	22%	53%
Rutherford	24%	59%
Sampson	31%	66%
Scotland	39%	70%
Stanly	20%	55%
Stokes	21%	43%
Surry	23%	64%
Swain	24%	68%
Transylvania	24%	63%
Tyrrell	35%	77%
Union	12%	35%
Vance	38%	76%
Wake	12%	33%
Warren	33%	52%
Washington	41%	85%
Watauga	17%	39%
Wayne	31%	65%
Wilkes	25%	57%
Wilson	29%	64%
Yadkin	22%	56%
Yancey	26%	59%
NC	21%	50%

Percent of children living in families that make a living wage. Caregivers who do not make a living wage may work full-time and still be unable to keep their families out of poverty.

Source: Median Income: 2017 NC Office of State Budget and Management; Sustainable Living Wage: 2017 United Way of NC

Median Income vs. Sustainable Living Wage, by county

County	Median Income	Sustainable Living Wage
Alamance	47,914	54,845
Alexander	48,577	44,900
Alleghany	38,994	42,619
Anson	41,266	47,682
Ashe	40,611	44,505
Avery	40,682	50,075
Beaufort	43,497	48,960
Bertie	33,022	45,786
Bladen	38,059	47,560
Brunswick	56,227	54,365
Buncombe	50,271	59,796
Burke	42,179	44,547
Cabarrus	62,167	60,070
Caldwell	44,798	43,923
Camden	64,086	58,910
Carteret	53,192	54,546
Caswell	44,998	48,087
Catawba	53,348	49,478
Chatham	63,373	59,095
Cherokee	38,283	46,286
Chowan	43,182	47,404
Clay	43,684	48,240
Cleveland	39,911	45,396
Columbus	37,639	47,121
Craven	51,390	53,871
Cumberland	44,065	53,869
Currituck	62,397	56,260
Dare	57,316	62,065
Davidson	47,595	47,358
Davie	58,147	51,007
Duplin	39,470	46,446
Durham	60,045	67,526
Edgecombe	35,130	50,713
Forsyth	50,803	53,991
Franklin	53,076	52,458
Gaston	50,016	50,520

County	Median Income	Sustainable Living Wage
Gates	50,164	49,264
Graham	37,748	47,566
Granville	53,142	54,043
Greene	40,131	46,419
Guilford	52,284	55,549
Halifax	34,027	46,690
Harnett	51,406	53,061
Haywood	47,872	49,614
Henderson	51,314	54,430
Hertford	38,786	47,262
Hoke	50,777	49,986
Hyde	41,214	61,494
Iredell	56,559	58,002
Jackson	46,113	48,794
Johnston	58,647	59,174
Jones	41,523	48,808
Lee	53,297	50,399
Lenoir	39,411	45,926
Lincoln	57,042	51,393
Macon	42,853	49,009
Madison	42,456	50,960
Martin	41,891	46,106
McDowell	37,225	46,720
Mecklenburg	65,750	65,173
Mitchell	40,589	48,019
Montgomery	43,695	46,364
Moore	62,781	52,840
Nash	47,597	50,329
New Hanover	53,692	60,098
Northampton	36,190	52,499
Onslow	49,634	53,426
Orange	69,422	72,189
Pamlico	48,410	48,504
Pasquotank	46,709	50,683
Pender	52,187	54,342
Perquimans	45,011	48,282

County	Median Income	Sustainable Living Wage
Person	50,269	49,681
Pitt	46,229	55,851
Polk	45,587	53,679
Randolph	44,207	47,347
Richmond	36,401	42,505
Robeson	33,714	47,660
Rockingham	46,247	45,345
Rowan	47,541	48,454
Rutherford	40,758	44,439
Sampson	41,951	47,618
Scotland	37,947	47,010
Stanly	49,871	48,642
Stokes	48,748	49,489
Surry	43,023	49,790

County	Median Income	Sustainable Living Wage
Swain	41,407	45,709
Transylvania	47,587	51,693
Tyrrell	35,223	45,077
Union	77,875	61,558
Vance	39,847	47,414
Wake	77,641	69,403
Warren	36,831	46,100
Washington	36,171	50,197
Watauga	48,417	57,087
Wayne	44,592	47,785
Wilkes	42,453	51,044
Wilson	44,825	49,769
Yadkin	46,885	46,740
Yancey	41,053	44,634

Housing Stability

Percent of birth-through-age-eight children who are homeless. Homeless children develop more slowly and may develop serious emotional problems. They are more likely to get sick, have mental health problems, have academic problems, be victims of violence, exhibit delinquent or aggressive behavior, be behind academically and have social and emotional issues that result in poor academic performance, behavior problems and depression.

Source: National Center for Homeless Education, Consolidated State Performance Report and North Carolina Factsheet (2016-17). DPI Statistical Profile (2016-2017).

Number of homeless students enrolled in public schools in NC, by grade (2016-17), Ages: (K-3)

	# homeless	# enrolled - districts	% homeless
K	2589	111,086	2.33%
1	2572	113,781	2.26%
2	2538	116,760	2.17%
3	2601	118,270	2.20%

Percent of children with housing stability (family has not moved more than once in past 12 months). When children move frequently, it impacts their social capital and ability to learn because of disruptive absences from school, discontinuity of teaching styles, and insecure social relationships. Families that move frequently may be less successful at developing social ties and may be unfamiliar with available resources to help their children.

Source: US Census Bureau, Quick Facts: North Carolina (2018)

Percent of Population (over 1 year old) Living in Same House as One Year Ago

North Carolina	85%
United States	86%

Percent of families who spend less than 30% of income on housing. Families with high housing costs are more likely to go without other basic necessities in order to pay for rent and utilities. High housing costs can increase the likelihood of frequent moves and doubling up with family members or friends.

Source: BTC Brief: County Economic Snapshots, NC Budget and Tax Center 2013-2017

Percent of Renters with Housing Costs Greater than 30% in 2013-2017

Alamance	50.6	Franklin	48.5	Pamlico	51.9
Alexander	44.4	Gaston	48.2	Pasquotank	53.2
Alleghany	50.8	Gates	52.9	Pender	54.4
Anson	50.7	Graham	27.2	Perquimans	70.5
Ashe	46.5	Granville	48.7	Person	59.7
Avery	57.4	Greene	50.7	Pitt	56.2
Beaufort	52.4	Guilford	50.7	Polk	41.6
Bertie	58.1	Halifax	58.8	Randolph	44.6
Bladen	49.9	Harnett	47.1	Richmond	58.9
Brunswick	53.8	Haywood	50.3	Robeson	50.0
Buncombe	50.5	Henderson	50.4	Rockingham	46.3
Burke	47.5	Hertford	49.5	Rowan	43.8
Cabarrus	42.4	Hoke	52.2	Rutherford	47.4
Caldwell	46.2	Hyde	41.9	Sampson	57.3
Camden	50.5	Iredell	42.9	Scotland	58.4
Carteret	43.8	Jackson	47.7	Stanly	47.8
Caswell	60.7	Johnston	50.8	Stokes	51.2
Catawba	43.7	Jones	60.7	Surry	45.2
Chatham	46.3	Lee	45.3	Swain	45.0
Cherokee	42.5	Lenoir	47.4	Transylvania	53.7
Chowan	51.8	Lincoln	42.2	Tyrrell	25.0
Clay	39.3	Macon	47.1	Union	47.4
Cleveland	53.7	Madison	49.9	Vance	50.9
Columbus	50.9	Martin	50.7	Wake	44.4
Craven	46.9	McDowell	37.7	Warren	43.3
Cumberland	52.0	Mecklenburg	46.4	Washington	60.5
Currituck	54.1	Mitchell	47.5	Watauga	63.7
Dare	46.9	Montgomery	52.7	Wayne	50.0
Davidson	46.2	Moore	42.7	Wilkes	49.7
Davie	41.6	Nash	52.9	Wilson	51.3
Duplin	48.2	New Hanover	53.1	Yadkin	45.4
Durham	48.4	Northampton	60.0	Yancey	53.8
Edgecombe	50.4	Onslow	48.9	NC Total	48.7
Forsyth	50.9	Orange	48.4		

Safe Neighborhoods

Rate of reported violent crimes. Exposure to community violence is associated with negative outcomes for children, including reduced behavioral and social competence. Repeated exposure to violence threatens children's physical, intellectual, and emotional development.

Source: NC State Bureau of Investigation, Annual Summary Report

Violent Crime Rate per 100,000 population (2017)

Alamance	503.6	Franklin	186.8	Pamlico	72.6
Alexander	168.3	Gaston	459.5	Pasquotank	518.2
Alleghany	115.7	Gates		Pender	170.6
Anson	554.8	Graham	216.5	Perquimans	199.1
Ashe	106.1 (2016)	Granville	230.0	Person	322.0
Avery	95.9	Greene	225.3	Pitt	485.1
Beaufort	317.0	Guilford	596.6	Polk	44.1
Bertie	151.6	Halifax	506.5	Randolph	235.9
Bladen	282.8 (2016)	Harnett	298.5	Richmond	588.9
Brunswick	152.4	Haywood	302.1	Robeson	920.3
Buncombe	301.5	Henderson	145.7	Rockingham	299.3
Burke	178.7	Hertford	268.3	Rowan	295.6
Cabarrus	111.4	Hoke	178.0	Rutherford	276.1
Caldwell	177.9	Hyde		Sampson	285.6
Camden	19.5	Iredell	333.3	Scotland	723.8
Carteret	215.9	Jackson	251.0	Stanly	264.7
Caswell	200.2	Johnston	166.1	Stokes	194.7
Catawba	320.5	Jones		Surry	177.0
Chatham	211.2	Lee	232.8	Swain	287.5
Cherokee	321.5	Lenoir	667.8	Transylvania	155.5
Chowan	454.1	Lincoln	176.7	Tyrrell	265.6
Clay	178.0	Macon	142.5	Union	213.8
Cleveland	158.7	Madison	68.2	Vance	540.4
Columbus	365.3	Martin	416.9	Wake	249.7
Craven	280.3	McDowell	152.2	Warren	212.4
Cumberland	707.0	Mecklenburg	638.6	Washington	
Currituck	157.6	Mitchell		Watauga	98.5
Dare	218.2	Montgomery	211.4	Wayne	384.2
Davidson	213.7	Moore	151.7	Wilkes	226.3
Davie	225.7	Nash	343.6	Wilson	466.1
Duplin	175.0	New Hanover	435.1	Yadkin	224.6
Durham	767.9	Northampton	219.9	Yancey	60.8
Edgecombe	430.8	Onslow	304.7		
Forsyth	702.2	Orange	175.7		

Note: Data was not collected for all counties. 2016 listed as last update when available.

Percent of adults living on block where it is safe for children to play outside. Perceived neighborhood safety impacts child development because it affects parents' willingness to use available resources such as parks, libraries, and children's programs. When parents feel connected to their neighborhood, their children benefit from community resources and collective socialization opportunities.

Source: National Survey of Children's Health, Indicator 7.2 - Children live in safe communities

Percent of adults living on a block where it is safe for children to play outside

	US			NC		
	2007	2011/12	2017/18	2007	2011/12	2017/18
Never/Sometimes Safe	14	13.4	4.7	11.4	10.5	5.0
Usually/Always Safe	86.1	86.6	95.3	88.6	89.5	95.0

RANK: NC ranks 20th nationally for "usually/always safe"; categories for 2017 are "definitely agree/somewhat agree" and "somewhat or definitely disagree"

Trauma-Informed Communities

No data indicator as yet defined.

Environmental Health

Percent of children with elevated blood lead levels. Children with elevated blood lead levels are more likely to have a lowered IQ and behavioral problems, academic failure, need for special education services and increased risk of juvenile delinquency. Elevated blood lead levels in the early years are associated with intellectual impairments, attention and behavioral problems, and lower achievement in school.

Source: Data prepared by Children's Environmental Health division of the NC Department of Public Health

Percent of children with elevated blood lead levels (% greater than 5 micrograms per deciliter), 2017

Alamance	1.6
Alexander	1.7
Alleghany	3.7
Anson	2.4
Ashe	1.1
Avery	0.7
Beaufort	1.8
Bertie	2.0
Bladen	0.9
Brunswick	0.5
Buncombe	1.2
Burke	0.9
Cabarrus	1.0
Caldwell	0.5
Camden	0.9
Carteret	0.6
Caswell	1.6
Catawba	1.1
Chatham	0.4
Cherokee	1.0
Chowan	0.7
Clay	No data reported
Cleveland	1.4
Columbus	1.6
Craven	0.8
Cumberland	1.3
Currituck	2.6
Dare	1.0
Davidson	1.0
Davie	1.8
Duplin	0.9
Durham	0.9
Edgecombe	2.6
Forsyth	1.5

Franklin	1.0
Gaston	1.3
Gates	No data reported
Graham	1.4
Granville	1.3
Greene	2.7
Guilford	1.1
Halifax	3.1
Harnett	1.7
Haywood	1.5
Henderson	1.0
Hertford	1.4
Hoke	1.2
Hyde	1.8
Iredell	0.8
Jackson	2.2
Johnston	1.0
Jones	No data reported
Lee	1.5
Lenoir	1.7
Lincoln	1.5
Macon	0.4
Madison	1.8
Martin	1.6
McDowell	2.3
Mecklenburg	0.8
Mitchell	1.4
Montgomery	2.0
Moore	0.9
Nash	2.0
New Hanover	1.3
Northampton	3.4
Onslow	1.1

Orange	2.5
Pamlico	2.5
Pasquotank	1.7
Pender	1.5
Perquimans	1.8
Person	1.5
Pitt	0.8
Polk	5.9
Randolph	1.4
Richmond	2.4
Robeson	1.4
Rockingham	2.3
Rowan	1.7
Rutherford	2.0
Sampson	1.3
Scotland	1.1
Stanly	1.8
Stokes	1.5
Surry	2.5
Swain	1.3
Transylvania	0.7
Tyrrell	No data reported
Union	1.1
Vance	2.0
Wake	1.2
Warren	2.1
Washington	0.6
Watauga	0.8
Wayne	1.2
Wilkes	2.5
Wilson	1.9
Yadkin	1.2
Yancey	0.7
NC Total	1.3

Percent of children screened for elevated blood lead levels. Early detection of lead exposure, when followed by intervention, can prevent long-term damage. Children living in poverty have higher blood lead levels than other children and are more likely to experience adverse effects, due to the interaction of blood lead with other risk factors, especially poor nutrition.

Source: Data prepared by Children's Environmental Health division of the NC Department of Public Health 2017

Percent of 1- and 2-year olds tested for lead poisoning

Alamance	61.8
Alexander	59.5
Alleghany	40.7
Anson	42.3
Ashe	63.8
Avery	49.0
Beaufort	74.5
Bertie	71.3
Bladen	77.2
Brunswick	58.4
Buncombe	62.9
Burke	70.8
Cabarrus	47.6
Caldwell	71.5
Camden	63.3
Carteret	70.3
Caswell	63.7
Catawba	56.0
Chatham	52.8
Cherokee	64.9
Chowan	54.5
Clay	58.2
Cleveland	73.5
Columbus	69.7
Craven	67.8
Cumberland	38.5
Currituck	36.2
Dare	43.5
Davidson	72.7
Davie	63.2
Duplin	60.9
Durham	48.5
Edgecombe	73.8
Forsyth	64.2

Franklin	61.2
Gaston	44.9
Gates	48.2
Graham	91.9
Granville	60.4
Greene	72.4
Guilford	75.0
Halifax	86.1
Harnett	54.2
Haywood	71.5
Henderson	60.7
Hertford	77.3
Hoke	48.8
Hyde	63.6
Iredell	52.5
Jackson	77.0
Johnston	57.1
Jones	68.2
Lee	70.5
Lenoir	65.2
Lincoln	46.7
Macon	64.5
Madison	63.5
Martin	60.8
McDowell	60.7
Mecklenburg	32.7
Mitchell	47.3
Montgomery	89.9
Moore	77.1
Nash	81.7
New Hanover	70.7
Northampton	77.2
Onslow	13.3
Orange	47.2

Pamlico	87.4
Pasquotank	78.4
Pender	66.9
Perquimans	70.5
Person	56.7
Pitt	53.8
Polk	36.1
Randolph	65.6
Richmond	62.3
Robeson	65.1
Rockingham	51.8
Rowan	55.5
Rutherford	37.7
Sampson	78.1
Scotland	60.8
Stanly	82.7
Stokes	60.1
Surry	61.6
Swain	59.8
Transylvania	77.5
Tyrrell	56.7
Union	41.0
Vance	56.4
Wake	45.6
Warren	66.4
Washington	64.9
Watauga	69.5
Wayne	68.8
Wilkes	68.2
Wilson	80.3
Yadkin	62.2
Yancey	42.0
NC Total	54.9